

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

The Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us Mark T. Murphy Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

June 15, 2015

Mr. Edward Emmett Positive Outcomes Charter School 3337 South DuPont Highway Camden, DE 19934

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Mr. Emmett:

Under the IDEA, the Department is required to review the performance of local education agencies (LEAs) on the targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and make annual determinations on LEA performance.

As discussed in the November Chiefs' meeting, the federal Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) has broadened their focus from holding states accountable for compliance indicators only to now holding states accountable for both compliance and results indicators. Beginning this spring, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Compliance:

0	Indicator 4b	Significant Discrepancy, by Race or Ethnicity, in the rate of Suspensions
		and Expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year and policies,
		procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do
		not comply with requirements
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition from Part C/preschool special education services
		to Part B/school-age special education services
0	Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP
0	Other	Equitable Services, Needs-Based Funding, Fiscal Monitoring
0	Other	Corrective Action as a result of an Administrative Complaint or Due Process

Results:

0	Indicator 3b	Participation in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3c	Proficiency on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4a	Significant Discrepancy in the rates of long-term Suspension of Students with
		Disabilities
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes

Based on information from OSEP, Indicator 1/Graduation Rate will be added next year.

As a result of the review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA **NEEDS INTERVENTION** in implementing the regulations of the IDEA.

Positive Outcomes Charter School LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) June 15, 2015 Page 2

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, the LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Exceptional Children Resources staff will be in contact with you to discuss next steps including the development of a **Corrective Action Plan**. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us.

Mary and Mesopowski

Mary Ann Mieczkowski

Director, Exceptional Children Resources

Sincerely,

Michael Watson

Chief Academic Officer

MAM:BJM/bd Attachment

cc: Mark T. Murphy, Secretary of Education

Penny Schwinn, Chief Accountability Officer Katherine Villari, Chief Performance Officer Ryan Reyna, Director, Office of Accountability Dave Rose, Special Education Director, Positive Outcomes

Jennifer Nagourney, Director, Charter School Office Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

Maria N. Locuniak, PhD., NCSP, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

Dale Matusevich, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State. In addition, the Department is required to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment, (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services, and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located within the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the Requirements and purposes of IDEA, Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA, Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA, or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

FFY 2013 LEA Annual Determinations

FFY 2013 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Compliance:

0	Indicator 4b	Significant Discrepancy, by Race or Ethnicity, in the rate of Suspensions
		and Expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year and policies,
		procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do
		not comply with requirements
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition from Part C/preschool special education services
		to Part B/school-age special education services
0	Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP
0	Other	Equitable Services, Needs-Based Funding, Fiscal Monitoring
0	Other	Corrective Action as a result of an Administrative Complaint or Due Process

• Results:

0	Indicator 3b	Participation in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3c	Proficiency on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4a	Significant Discrepancy in the rates of long-term Suspension of Students with
		Disabilities
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes

Meets Requirements	=	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2		
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Corrective Action Plan related to any compliance indicator(s)/issues or does not meet targets for results	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On-Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)
Needs Intervention			and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan or Compliance Agreement related to any compliance indicator(s)/issues or does not meet targets for results	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On-Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)
Needs Significant Intervention	Phase in S	Spring, 2016				

Positive Outcomes Charter School

Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2013 - 2014	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year and policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements. Note - The LEA score is the Rate Ratio which for FFY 2012 had a target of 1.26	2012 - 2013 SY	0.00%	12.82%	Met targets for all races/ ethnicities	1	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionality/All Disabilities	12/1/13	0.00%	4.65%	Met target	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionality/Specific Disabilities	12/1/13	0.00%	4.65%	Did not meet target	0	1
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluation Timelines	2013 - 2014 SY	100.00%	99.79%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Indicator 12: Preschool Transition Part C to Part B	2013 - 2014 SY	100.00%	97.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition (LEA - actual percentage)	2013 - 2014 SY	100%	48.43%	80.00%	0	1
Equitable Services/Needs-Based Funding/Fiscal Monitoring					1	1
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2013 - 2014	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 3B Participation Math						
Grade 3 Grade 4	•	95.00%	98.60%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4	1	95.00% 95.00%	98.78% 98.76%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
Grade 6	1	95.00%	97.69%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	İ	95.00%	97.43%	100.00%	1	1
Grade 8	1	95.00%	97.78%	100.00%	1	1
Grade 10		95.00%	92.45%	94.74%	0	1
Indicator 3B Participation Reading						
Grade 3	1	95.00%	96.22%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4		95.00%	96.60%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5 Grade 6	Spring, 2014	95.00%	96.70%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 0	2014	95.00% 95.00%	96.33% 96.41%	N/A 100.00%	N/A 1	N/A
Grade 7		95.00%	96.87%	90.00%	0	1
Grade 10		95.00%	90.10%	89.47%	0	1
Indicator 3C Performance Rate Math						
			36.69%	NI/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 3		41.80%	30.03%	N/A	N/A	
Grade 4		41.80%	37.00%	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4 Grade 5		41.80% 41.80%	37.00% 33.08%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
Grade 4 Grade 5 Grade 6		41.80% 41.80% 41.80%	37.00% 33.08% 23.21%	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A
Grade 4 Grade 5		41.80% 41.80%	37.00% 33.08%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A

Positive Outcomes Charter School

Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2013 - 2014	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 3C Performance Rate Reading						
Grade 3		41.40%	33.87%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4		41.40%	36.45%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5	Spring,	41.40%	38.91%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6	2014	41.40%	30.32%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7		41.40%	30.04%	25.00%	0	1
Grade 8		41.40%	29.29%	33.33%	0 -	1
Grade 10		41.40%	34.56%	58.82%	1	1
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension of students with disabilities Note - The LEA score is the Rate Ratio which for FFY 2012 had a target of 1.26	2012 - 2013 SY	0.00%	2.56%	Met target	1	1
Indicator 7A: Early Childhood Outcomes - Social/Emotional						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth		85.20%	86.41%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent Within Age Expectation		54.20%	48.81%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Indicator 7B: Early Childhood Outcomes - Knowledge						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	June, 2014	87.30%	86.63%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent Within Age Expectation		49.80%	45.39%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Indicator 7C: Early Childhood Outcomes - Behavior						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth		87.30%	85.60%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent Within Age Expectation		65.00%	61.51%	N/A	N/A	N/A

Determination Summary	
Compliance Indicators Score	3
Out of a Possible:	5
Results Indicators Score	6
Out of a Possible:	13
Score Total	9
Out of a Possible:	18
Percentage:	50.0%

Annual Determination: Needs Intervention

Positive Outcomes

Indicator 1 - Graduation Rates

Indicator	School Year	<u>Graduates</u>	Dropouts	Graduation Rate	Target	Met Target?
1	2012			100.00%	87.00%	Yes
a	2013			100.00%	87.00%	Yes
ìt	2014			100.00%	63.00%	Yes

Indicator 2 - Dropout Rates

Indicator	School Year	Enrollment	DropOuts	Dropout Rate	Target Me	et Target?
2	2012	47		2.13%	3.80%	Yes
2	2013				3.80%	
	N	ICES data was not r	eported for this LE	A for this FFY.		
2	2014	59		0.00%	5.50%	Yes

Indicator 3 Indicator	- Performanc School Year	e on AYP School Level	Meets Reading Target? Meets Math Target?	Meets AYP Target?
3A	2013	All		
		AYP was not calcul	ated for this LEA in FFY 2012.	
3A	2014	All		
		AVP was not calcul	ated for this LEA in EEV 2013	

Indicator 3B - Participation in State Assessments

Indicator	School Year	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	# Eligible	# Tested	% Tested	<u>Target</u>	Met Target?
3B	2012	7	Math			91.67%	98.3%	No
3B	2013	7	Math			100.00%	98.3%	Yes
3B	2014	7	Math			100.00%	95.0%	Yes

Positive Ou	tcomes							
<u>Indicator</u>	School Year	Grade	<u>Subject</u>	# Eligible	# Tested	% Tested	<u>Target</u>	Met Target?
3В	2012	8	Math	15	15	100.00%	97.4%	Yes
3B	2013	8	Math	15	15	100.00%	97.4%	Yes
3В	2014	8	Math			100.00%	95.0%	Yes
3B	2012	10	Math			100.00%	95.4%	Yes
3B	2013	10	Math			100.00%	95.4%	Yes
3В	2014	10	Math	19	18	94.74%	95.0%	No
3B	2012	7	Reading			91.67%	98.2%	No
3B	2013	7	Reading			100.00%	98.2%	Yes
3B	2014	7	Reading			100.00%	95.0%	Yes
3В	2012	8	Reading	15	15	100.00%	97.6%	Yes
3B	2013	8	Reading	15	15	100.00%	97.6%	Yes
3B	2014	8	Reading			90.00%	95.0%	No
3B	2012	10	Reading			100.00%	95.8%	Yes
3B	2013	10	Reading			100.00%	95.8%	Yes
3В	2014	10	Reading	19	17	89.47%	95.0%	No

Indicator 3C	- Performance on	State Assessments
---------------------	------------------	-------------------

<u>Indicator</u> <u>School Year</u> <u>Grade</u> <u>Subject</u> <u># Tested</u> <u># Meets</u> <u>Meets</u> <u>Target</u> <u>Met Target?</u>

Positive O	utcomes							
Indicator	School Year	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	# Tested	# Meets	% Meets	Target N	let Target?
3C	2012	7	Math			36.36%	36.00%	Yes
3C	2013	7	Math			12.50%	41.80%	No
3C	2014	7	Math			12.50%	41.80%	No
3C	2012	8	Math	15		40.00%	36.00%	Yes
3C	2013	8	Math	15		33.33%	41.80%	No
3C	2014	8	Math			10.00%	41.80%	No
3C	2012	10	Math			20.00%	36.00%	No
3C	2013	10	Math			8.33%	41.80%	No
3C	2014	10	Math	18		44.44%	41.80%	Yes
3C	2012	7	Reading			36.36%	35.60%	Yes
3C	2013	7	Reading			50.00%	41.40%	Yes
3C	2014	7	Reading			25.00%	41.40%	No
3C	2012	8	Reading	15		46.67%	35.60%	Yes
3C	2013	8	Reading	15		40.00%	41.40%	No
3C	2014	8	Reading			33.33%	41.40%	No
3C	2012	10	Reading			30.00%	35.60%	No

P	ne	iŧ	ivo	O.	uto	om	Ac
г	US	ıı	IVE		unc	CHIL	

Indicator	School Year	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	# Tested	# Meets	% Meets	Target M	Met Target?
3C	2013	10	Reading			33.33%	41.40%	No
3C	2014	10	Reading	17		58.82%	41.40%	Yes

Indicator 4A - Disproportionality in Students Suspended > 10 Days

Indicator	<u>SY</u>	Spec Ed Enroll	Reg Ed Enroll	Spec Ed Suspend	RegEd Suspend	Met Target?	Rate Ratio
4A	2013	3	46	0	2	Yes	0

For FFY 2013 a Rate Ratio over 1.26 with cell size over 15 will trigger,

Indicator 4B- Disproportionality in Students Suspended > 10 Days by Race/Ethnicity

Indicator	School Year	Race	Special Ed Enrolled	Spec Ed Suspend>10 days	Rate Ratio	<u>Target</u>	Met Target?
4B	2013	Afr_American	25		0.00	1.26	Yes
4B	2013	AI/AK			0.00	1.26	Yes
4B	2013	Asian			0.00	1.26	Yes
4B	2013	Hispanic			0.00	1.26	Yes
4B	2013	M ulti.Racial			0.00	1.26	Yes
4B	2013	Nat.Haw./PI			0.00	1.26	Yes
4B	2013	White	44		0.00	1.26	Yes

An LEA can exceed the Rate Ratio with less than 10 students in the sub-category and not miss target.

Indicator 5A - LRE Ages 6 to 21 - Outside Regular Class < 21% of the Day

Indicator	School Year	<u>Total</u>	# Outside < 21%	<u>% Outside < 21%</u>	Target	Met Target?
5A	2012	74	74	100.00%	66.00%	Yes
5A	2013	76	74	97.37%	68.00%	Yes
5A	2014	79	74	93.67%	67.00%	Yes

Positive Outcomes

Indicator 5B - LRE Ages 6 to 21 - Outside Regular Class >60% of the Day

<u>Indicator</u>	School Year	<u>Total</u>	# Outside > 60%	<u>% Outside > 60%</u>	<u>Target</u>	Met Target?
5B	2012	74		0.00%	17.50%	Yes
5B	2013	76		0.00%	17.30%	Yes
5B	2014	79		5.06%	15.60%	Yes

Indicator 5C - LRE Ages 6 to 21 - Separate Settings

<u>Indicator</u>	School Year	<u>Total</u>	# Separate	% Separate	<u>Target</u>	Met Target?
5C	2012	74		0.00%	3.60%	Yes
5C	2013	76		2.63%	3.50%	Yes
5C	2014	79		0.00%	5.20%	Yes

Indicator 6 - LRE Ages 3 to 5 - With Typical Peers (Early Childhood, Home, Part-time Early Childhood Part-Time Early Childhood Special Education)

Indicator	<u>FFY</u>	Total EC	# with Peers	% with Peers	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met</u> Target	
6	2013				45.0%		This indicator does not apply to this LEA.

Indicator 7 - Percent of pre-school children aged 3 though 5 who demonstrate improved Positive Social-Emotional Skills; Acquisition and use of Knowledge Skills; and use of Appropriate Behaviors to meet their needs.

	School Year	% Increase Rate of Growth	Target Increase Rate of Growth	Met Growth Target?	% Within Target Age within Age Expectation	Met Age Target?	Skillset
7	2012						
			This	indicator o	loes not apply to this LEA.		
	School Year	% Increase Rate of Growth	Target Increase Rate of Growth	Met Growth Target?	% Within Target Age within Age Expectation	Met Age Target?	<u>Skillset</u>
7	2013						
			This	indicator o	loes not apply to this LEA.		
	School Year	% Increase Rate of Growth	Target Increase Rate of Growth	Met Growth Target?	% Within Target Age within Age Expectation	Met Age Target?	<u>Skillset</u>
7	2014						

This indicator does not apply to this LEA.

Positive Outcomes

Indicator 8 - Percent of parents with a child receiving Special Education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.

Indicator	School Year	<u>Agree</u>	Disagree	<u>Total</u>	% Agree	<u>Target</u>	Met Target?
8	2012	12	2	14	85.71%	87.00%	No
8	2013	12	0	12	100.00%	87.00%	Yes
8	2014	11	0	11	100.00%	87.00%	Yes

Indicator 9 - Percent of LEA's with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification. Disproportionate representation data are found in the APR.

<u>Indicator</u>	School Year	Disprop representation is result of inappropriate identification	<u>Target</u>	Met Target
9	2012	No	0.0%	Yes
<u>Indicator</u>	School Year	Disprop representation is result of inappropriate identification	<u>Target</u>	Met Target
9	2013	No	0.0%	Yes
<u>Indicator</u>	School Year	Disprop representation is result of inappropriate identification	<u>Target</u>	Met Target
9	2014	No	0.0%	Yes

Indicator 10 - Percent of LEA's with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.

Indicator	School Year:	Disprop. Rep. is result of Inaprop. Identification	<u>Target</u>	Met Target
10	2012	No	0.0%	Yes
Indicator	School Year:	Disprop. Rep. is result of Inaprop. Identification	<u>Target</u>	Met Target
10	2013	No	0.0%	Yes
<u>Indicator</u>	School Year:	Disprop. Rep. is result of Inaprop. Identification	<u>Target</u>	Met Target
10	2014	Yes	0.0%	No

Indicator 11 - Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is shorter.

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School</u>	W/In Timelines	Not W/In_	% Within	Target	Met Target?
	<u>Year</u>		<u>Timelines</u>	70 00101111		mot ranger.

Positive Outcomes

<u>Indicator</u>	School W/In Year	Timelines	Not W/In Timelines	% Within	<u>Target</u>	Met Target?
11	2012				100.00%	N/A
	No initial	evaluation data	a was reported du	ring this repor	ting period b	y this LEA.
11	2013	2	0	100.00%	100.00%	Yes
11	2014				100.00%	N/A
	No initial	evaluation data	a was reported due	ring this report	ting period by	y this LEA.

Indicator 12 - Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

<u>Indicator</u>	School Year	Referred Less Not Eligible and Parent Refusals	# Services By Age 3	% Services by Age 3	<u>Target</u>	Met Target?
12	2012				100.00%	
		This indicator does not a	oply to this LEA.			
12	2013				100.00%	
		This indicator does not ap	oply to this LEA.			
12	2014				100.00%	
		This indicator does not ap	oply to this LEA.			

Indicator 13 - Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measureable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.

Indicator	School Year	<u>IEP's</u> Reviewed	IEP's Meeting Standard	% Meeting Standard	<u>Target</u>	Met Target?
13	2012	19	14	74.00%	100.0	No
13	2013				100.0	
	Data was	s not reviewed for this	s reporting period.			
13	2014	10	8	80.00%	100.0	No