

### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 http://education.delaware.gov

Mark A. Holodick, Ed.D. Secretary of Education (302) 735-4000 (302) 739-4654 - fax

May 27, 2022

Madeline Weckel, Nate Durant Co-Heads of School Freire Charter School 201 West 14th Street Wilmington, DE 19801

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Ms. Weckel and Mr. Durant:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance. The State must monitor the implementation of Part B, enforce Part B in accordance with the provisions at 34 CFR 300.604(a)(1), and (a)(3), (b)(2)(i) and (b)(2(v), and (c)(2), and annually report on performance under Part B. The primary focus of the State's monitoring activities must be on:

- Improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and
- Ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under Part B of the Act, with a particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

For FFY 2020, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following results and compliance indicators:

### • Results:

<ul> <li>Indicator 1</li> </ul>	Graduate Rate
<ul><li>Indicator 2</li></ul>	Drop-Out Rate
<ul> <li>Indicator 3A</li> </ul>	Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment
<ul> <li>Indicator 3B</li> </ul>	Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level Academic
	Achievement Standards
<ul> <li>Indicator 4A</li> </ul>	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and
	Expulsions of Students with Disabilities
<ul><li>Indicator 5</li></ul>	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
<ul><li>Indicator 7</li></ul>	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills,
	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate
	Behaviors

\*\*Indicator 3C, Proficiency for Children with IEPs Alternative Academic Achievement Standards and Indicator 6, Preschool Environments will be included in LEA annual determination beginning with FFY 2021 in spring, 2023 May 27, 2022 Freire Charter School FFY 2020 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Page 2

• Compliance:

o Indicator 4B Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and

Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and

Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices

o Indicators 9 & 10 Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification

o Indicator 11 Timely Initial Evaluations

o Indicator 12 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

o Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA *Needs Intervention* in implementing the requirements of the IDEA. As a result, your LEA is required to analyze related data and develop a continuous improvement plan addressing areas identified in your determinations in order to improve outcomes for students with disabilities in your LEA.

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Barbara Mazza, will be in contact with *Madeline Weckel* and *Nate Durant, Co-Heads of School*, to provide technical assistance and discuss possible actions, including the development or revision of a Continuous Improvement Plan. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail <a href="maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us">maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Mieczkowski

Director, Exceptional Children Resources

MAM/js Attachment

cc: Mark A. Holodick, Ed.D., Secretary of Education

Monica Minor Gant, Ph.D., Associate Secretary of Academic Support Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

Leroy Travers, Education Associate, Charter School Office

### IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

### The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

### The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

LEA: Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities for FFY 2020

### **FFY 2020 LEA Annual Determinations**

FFY 2020 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

### • Results:

o Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
o Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
<ul> <li>Indicator 3A</li> </ul>	Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment
<ul> <li>Indicator 3B</li> </ul>	Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level Academic
	Achievement Standards
<ul> <li>Indicator 4A</li> </ul>	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and
	Expulsions of Students with Disabilities
<ul><li>Indicator 5</li></ul>	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
o Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills,
	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate
	Behaviors

### • Compliance:

<ul> <li>Indicator 4B</li> </ul>	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and
	Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and
	Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices
<ul><li>Indicators 9 &amp; 10</li></ul>	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
<ul><li>Indicator 11</li></ul>	Timely Initial Evaluations
<ul><li>Indicator 12</li></ul>	Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
o Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP

Meets Requirements	-	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)  LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan.		and/or	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action.	
Needs Assistance	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)		and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On-Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)
Needs Intervention	-	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Compliance Agreement.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On-Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)

# **Spring 2022 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2020**

## **Freire Charter School**

	i Cii C Ci	iai tei Sti	1001			
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2020	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2019-2020	80.62%	80.62%	94.44%	1	1
			<u> </u>			
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2019-2020	8.57%	8.57%	-	1	1
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate-ELA						
Grade 4		95.00%	66.96%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8	2020-2021	95.00%	51.73%	-	0	1
High School		95.00%	51.78%	-	0	1
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate-MATH						
Grade 4		95.00%	66.17%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8	2020-2021	95.00%	50.53%	-	0	1
High School	1	95.00%	51.73%	-	0	1
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Regular Assessment-ELA						
Grade 4		19.36%	13.11%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8	2020-2021	13.65%	9.22%	-	0	1
High School		13.83%	8.75%	-	0	1
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Regular Assessment-MATH						
Grade 4		18.65%	10.61%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8	2020-2021	8.37%	3.15%	-	0	1
High School		7.69%	2.09%	-	0	1
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities	2019-2020	40%	0.00%	Under Threshold	1	1
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class 80% or More of the Day		64.54%	64.54%	57.58%	0	1
Indicator 5B: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2020-2021	15.09%	15.09%	-	1	1
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Schools, Residential Facilities, and in Homebound/Hospital Placements		4.93%	4.93%	-	1	1
Indicator 7A. Early Childhood Outcomes- Positive Social/Emotional Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2020 2024	86.00%	84.61%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2020-2021	47.53%	47.03%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7B. Early Childhood Outcomes-Acquisition and Use						
of Knowledge and Skills		0= 0.11	07.04:			
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2020-2021	87.04%	85.24%	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Percent Within Age Expectation		46.12%	43.86%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7C. Early Childhood Outcomes- Use of Appropriate Behaviors						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth		88.31%	85.54%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2020-2021	59.35%	56.57%	NA	NA	NA
<u> </u>	ı				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10

# **Spring 2022 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2020**

# **Freire Charter School**

Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2018	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices.	2019-2020	0.00%	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation All Disabilities	2020-2021	0.00%	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific Disabilities	2020-2021	0.00%	2.56%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2020-2021	100.00%	98.26%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B	2020-2021	100.00%	95.75%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2020-2021	100.00%	98.85%	94.95%	0	1
Determination Summary			Anr	nual Determina	ition:	
Compliance Indicators Score	3		_ N	eeds Intervent	ion	
Possible Points:	4					
Results Indicators Score	Interve	ntion Plan /Cor	mpliance Agreer	nent:	No	
Possible Points:	14					
Score Total	8					
Out of a Possible:	18					

44.44%

Percentage:

				Trene	Charter School				
<b>Graduation Rat</b>	te							LEA Data	
Indicator 1	School Year	State Target	State Data			Total Students Exited	Graduated with a Regular Diploma	% SWD Who Graduated	Met Target?
Note:	2019-2020	80.62%	80.62%			18	17	94.44%	Yes
Drop-Out Rate									
								LEA Data <u>% SWD who Dropped</u>	
Indicator 2	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	State Target 8.57%	<u>State Data</u> 8.57%			Total Students Exited 18	<u>Drop-Outs</u>	<u>Out</u>	Met Target? Yes
Note:	2013-2020	0.3770	0.3770			10			163
Participation Ra	ate for Children with	h IEPs - ELA State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3A	School Year	Target	Data	Grade	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
maicator ort	2020-2021	95.00%	66.96%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	95.00%	51.73%	8	ELA	- -	-	-	No
	2020-2021	95.00%	51.78%	HS	ELA	16	_	_	No
Note: SY - 2020	0-2021 = FFY 2020								
N/A = LE	A does not have stu	idents in the specified	grade level or the LEA	does not have students	in the specified grade I	evel eligible to take the asse	essment.		
Participation Ra	ate for Children with	h IEPs - MATH State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3A	School Year	Target	Data	Grade	Subject	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
	2020-2021	95.00%	66.17%	4	MATH	NA NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	95.00%	50.53%	8	MATH	<u>-</u>	_	-	No
	2020-2021	95.00%	51.73%	HS	MATH	16	_	-	No
Note: SY - 2020	0-2021 = FFY 2020								
		idents in the specified	grade level or the LEA	does not have students	in the specified grade I	evel eligible to take the asse	essment.		
Proficiency Rat	e in Grade Level Aca	ademic Achievement S	tandards - ELA					LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2020-2021	19.36%	13.11%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	13.65%	9.22%	8	ELA	-	-	-	No
	2020-2021	13.83%	8.75%	HS	ELA	-	-	-	No
	0-2021 = FFY 2020 A does not have stu	dents in the specified	grade level or the LEA	does not have students	in the specified grade I	evel eligible to take the asse	essment.		
Proficiency Rat	e in Grade Level Aca	ndemic Achievement S	tandards - MATH					LEA Data	
,		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3B	School Year	Target	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target?
	2020-2021	18.65%	10.61%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA NA	NA
	2020-2021	8.37%	3.15%	8	MATH	-	-	-	No
	2020-2021	7.69%	2.09%	HS	MATH	-	-	_	No
Note: SY - 2020	0-2021 = FFY 2020								
		idents in the specified	grade level or the LEA	does not have students	in the specified grade I	evel eligible to take the asse	essment.		
, ==			J						

<b>Proficiency Rat</b>	e in the Alternate A	cademic Achievement	Standards - ELA					LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2020-2021	25.94%	13.00%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	43.10%	20.00%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	42.20%	40.45%	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: New Ind	licator 3C for SV-202	0-2021=FFV 2020 "Me	t Target" is NA for all I	FΔs					

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level or the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment.

<b>Proficiency Rat</b>	e in the Alternate A	Academic Achievement	Standards - MATH			LEA Data			
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2020-2021	31.95%	39.00%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	21.72%	8.89%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	12.71%	32.18%	HS	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: New Ind	icator 3C for SY-20	20-2021=FFY 2020, "Me	t Target" is NA for all I	LEAs					

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level or the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment.

Gap in Pr	oficency Rates (Grade Leve	el Academic Achiever	ment Standards) - ELA			LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
<b>Indicator</b>	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	GAP	Met Target?
	2020-2021	31.72%	23.46%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	41.27%	34.90%	8	ELA	-	-	-	NA
	2020-2021	38.15%	40.50%	HS	ELA	-	-	-	NA

Note: New Indicator 3D for SY-2020-2021=FFY 2020, "Met Target" is NA for all LEAs

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level or the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment.

Gap in Proficen	cy Rates (Grade Leve	el Academic Achieven	nent Standards) - MATI	1		LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
Indicator 3D	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target?
	2020-2021	33.37%	17.19%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	33.35%	20.65%	8	MATH	-	-	-	NA
	2020-2021	24.13%	25.54%	HS	MATH	-	-	-	NA
	•	ndicator 3D School Year 2020-2021 2020-2021	State           ndicator 3D         School Year         Target           2020-2021         33.37%           2020-2021         33.35%	State         State           ndicator 3D         School Year         Target         Data           2020-2021         33.37%         17.19%           2020-2021         33.35%         20.65%	ndicator 3D         School Year         Target         Data         Grade           2020-2021         33.37%         17.19%         4           2020-2021         33.35%         20.65%         8	State         State           ndicator 3D         School Year         Target         Data         Grade         Subject           2020-2021         33.37%         17.19%         4         MATH           2020-2021         33.35%         20.65%         8         MATH	State         State         State         % of ALL Meeting           ndicator 3D         School Year         Target         Data         Grade         Subject         Proficiency           2020-2021         33.37%         17.19%         4         MATH         NA           2020-2021         33.35%         20.65%         8         MATH         -	State         State         State         % of ALL Meeting         % of SWD Meeting           ndicator 3D         School Year         Target         Data         Grade         Subject         Proficiency         Proficiency           2020-2021         33.37%         17.19%         4         MATH         NA         NA           2020-2021         33.35%         20.65%         8         MATH         -         -         -	State         State         State         % of ALL Meeting         % of SWD Meeting         Proficiency           ndicator 3D         School Year         Target         Data         Grade         Subject         Proficiency         Proficiency         GAP           2020-2021         33.37%         17.19%         4         MATH         NA         NA         NA           2020-2021         33.35%         20.65%         8         MATH         -         -         -         -

Note: New Indicator 3D for SY-2020-2021=FFY 2020, "Met Target" is NA for all LEAs

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level or the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment.

				Freire C	narter School						
Significant Disci	repancy in the Rate	e of Long-Term Suspensi	ion and Explusions	of Students with Disabilitie	es						
Indicator 4A Note:	School Year 2019-2020	State Target 40.00%	State Data 0.00%	SWD Enrollment 101	Non-SWD Enrollment 386	SWD Suspended > 10 Days -	Non-SWD Suspended > 10 Days -	LEA Data (Rate Ratio) -	Compliant? Yes		
Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices											
Significant Disci	repaircy in the Nati	e or cong-rerin suspensi	ions and Expuisions	s of Students with Disabiliti	es by Nace/Etimicity and	SWD Suspended > 10	rocedures, and Fractice	•			
Indicator 4B	School Year 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020	State Target 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	State Data 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	Race Hispanic/Latino Native American African American White Asian American Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	SWD Enrolled - - 85 - -	<u>Days</u>	Met Target? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		
	2019-2020	0.00%	0.00%	Multi-Racial	- -	- -	Yes	-	Yes		
Note:											
Percent of Child	dren With IEPs Age	d 5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside t	he Regular Class 80% or I	More of the Day					
Indicator 5A  Note: School ye	School Year 2020-2021 ear 2020-2021 was	State Target 64.54% s used to set new baselin	State Data 64.54%			<u>Number of SWD</u> 99	Number of SWD <u>In LRE A</u> 57	<b>LEA Data % in LRE A</b> 57.58%	Met Target? No		
Percent of Child	ren With IFPs Δge	d 5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside t	he Regular Class Less Tha	on 40% of the Day					
Indicator 5B  Note:	School Year 2020-2021	State Target 15.09%	<u>State Data</u> 15.09%	, gen o to 22 oct ou maide o	ne negatat Guss 2005 ind	Number of SWD 99	Number of SWD In LRE B -	LRE Data % in LRE B	Met Target? Yes		
Percent of Child  Indicator 5C  Note:	dren With IEPs Age School Year 2020-2021	d 5 Who Are Enrolled in  State Target  4.93%	Kindergarten and A State Data 4.93%	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Sepa	rate Schools, Residential	I Facilities, and in Homeb  Number of SWD  99	ound/Hospital Placeme Number of SWD <u>In LRE C</u> -	nts LRE Data <u>% in LRE C</u> -	Met Target? Yes		
Preschool Environment Indicator 6A	conments: Percent School Year 2020-2021	of Children Aged 3 to 5 A	Attending a Regula State Data 26.86%	r Early Childhood Program a <u>Age</u> 3	and Receiving the Majorit <u>Total Number of SWD</u> NA	ty of Special Education ar	nd Related Services in th Total in 6A NA	ne Regular Early Childho Percent Receiving NA	od Program <u>Met Target</u> NA		

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

>=28.52%

>=35.54%

Note:

28.52%

35.54%

4

5

NA

NA

					Charter School				
<b>Preschool Envir</b>	ronments: Percent	t of Children Aged 3 to 5 Att	ending a Separate	Special Education Clas	s, Separate School, or Res	idential Facility			
Indicator 6B	School Year	State Target	State Data	Age	Total Number of SWD	-	Total in 6B	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2020-2021	<=55.32%	55.32%	3	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=50.72%	50.72%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=41.81%	41.81%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:									
		t of Children Aged 3 to 5 Red					Tabellio CO	Danis and Danis Islam	14-1 T
Indicator 6C	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	State Target	State Data	Age	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6C	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2020-2021	<=1.8% <=0.8%	1.06% 0.29%	3	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
		<=0.6%	0.35%	4 5	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
				·					
Note:									
Preschool Outc	comes: Percent of	Preschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonst	trate Improved Skills in	Positive Social/Emotional	Skills			
						7A2: Positive			
		7A1: Positive				Social/Emotional Skills:			
		Social/Emotional Skills:				Percent Within Age			
	<u> </u>	Percent Increased Rate of				Expectation State			
Indicator 7A	School Year	<b>Growth State Target</b>	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	<u>Target</u>	State Data	<u>LEA Data</u>	Met Target
	2020-2021	86.00%	84.61%	NA	NA	47.53%	47.03%	NA	NA
Note:									
Note.									
Preschool Outc	comes: Percent of	Preschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonst	trate Improved Skills in	Acquisition and Use of Kn	owledge and Skills			
						7B2: Acquisition and			
	7	B1: Acquisition and Use of				Use of Knowledge and			
	_	Knowledge and Skills:				Skills: Percent Within			
	j	Percent Increased Rate of				Age Expectation State			
Indicator 7B	School Year	Growth State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
	2020-2021	87.04%	85.24%	NA	NA	46.12%	43.86%	NA	NA
Note:									
Preschool Outc	omes: Percent of	Preschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonst	trate Improved Skills in	Use of Appropriate Behav	iors			
		7C1: Use of Appropriate				7C2: Use of Appropriate			
		Behaviors: Percent				Behaviors: Percent			
1		Increased Rate of Growth	Chata B	1545		Within Age Expectation	01-1-2	154.5	
Indicator 7C	School Year	State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
	2020-2021	88.31%	85.54%	NA	NA	59.35%	56.57%	NA	NA
Note:									

	Freire Charter School							
Percent of Pare	nts with a Child Recei	iving Special Education	Services Who Report That Sch	ool Facilitated Parent Involvement as a N	Means of Improving Se	rvices and Results for Ch	ildren with Disabilities	
Indicator 8 Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	State Target 90.00%	<b>State</b> <u><b>Data</b></u> 94.07%	Total Number of <u>Respondents</u> -	<u>Number Agree</u> -	<u>Number Disagree</u> -	LEA Data <u>% Agree</u> -	Met Target? Yes
Disproportional	te Representation of	Racial and Ethnic Grou	ps in Special Education and Re	elated Services That is a Result of Inappro	priate Identification			
Indicator 9	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	State Target 0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 0.00%			LEA Data Compliant Yes		Met Target? Yes
Note: State dat	a reflects % of distric	ts with Disproportiona	te Representation as a result o	of inappropriate identification.				
Disproportional	te Representation of	Racial and Ethnic Grou	ps in Specific Disability Catego	ories That is a Result of Inappropriate Ide	ntification			
Indicator 10	School Year 2020-2021	State Target 0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 2.56%			<u>LEA Data Compliant</u> Yes		Met Target? Yes
Note: State dat	a reflects % of distric	ts with Disproportiona	te Representation as a result of	of inappropriate identification.				
Evaluations Con	nducted Within 45 Sch	nool Days or 90 Calenda	ar Days. Whichever is Less. of I	Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evalu	ation			
Evaluations con	144 Television 45 501	ioor bays or so calcilla	ar bays, willenever is eess, or i	necessing furent consent for miliar Evalu	ution			
Indicator 11  Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	<u>State Target</u> 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 98.26%	<u>Total Number of Initial</u> <u>Evaluations</u> -	Number Within Timelines NA	Number Not Within Timelines NA	% LEA Data Within Timelines NA	Met Target? NA
Early Childhood	Transitions: Percent	of Children Referred b	y Part C Prior to Age 3 Who Ard	e Found Eligible for Part B, and				
Who Have an IE	P Developed and Imp	olemented by Their Thi	rd Birthday					
Indicator 12 Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 95.75%	Number of Children Found Eligible/IEP Implemented by Age 3 NA	Eligible and/or	Referred Minus Not Parent Refusals IA	LEA Data % Who Received Services by Age 3 NA	Met Target? NA

				Freire Charter School				
Percent of Yout	th Age 14 or in the 8	th grade with an IEP Th	nat Includes Coordinated,	Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition	Services That Will Reaso	nably Enable the Studen	t to Meet	
the Post-Secon	dary Goals							
				Total Number of IEPs	Number of IEPs Meeting	<u>.</u>	<b>LEA Data % Meeting</b>	
Indicator 13	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Standard</u>		<u>Standard</u>	Met Target?
	2020-2021	100.00%	98.85%	99	94		94.95%	No
Note:								
				lad IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left Schoo tively Employed Within One Year of Leaving	•			
			•	oyed or in Some Other Employment Within C	•	Lillonea III Trigilei Laaca		
	·				· ·			
				<u>Total Number of</u>	Total Number of			
Indicator 14	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Exiters</u>	<u>Respondents</u>	Group A Respondents	LEA Data % Group A	Met Target?
	2019-2020	44.14%	44.14%	18	-	-	-	No
		Chaha Tawash	Chaha Daha			Curum D Doomondonto	LEA Data IV Cusum B	Mat Tauast?
						Group B Respondents	LEA Data % Group B	
		04.02/0	04.02/0			-	-	NO
		State Target	State Data			Group C Respondents	LEA Data % Group C	Met Target?
		87.69%	87.69%					No
		State Target 64.82%	State Data 64.82%			Group B Respondents	LEA Data % Group B	Met Target?
						Group C Respondents	LEA Data % Group C	
		07.0370	07.0370				-	INU

Note:



### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 http://education.delaware.gov

Mark A. Holodick, Ed.D. Secretary of Education (302) 735-4000 (302) 739-4654 - fax

### FFY 2020 IDEA LEA Annual Determination Business Rules

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
1 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to graduating with a regular high school diploma Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	N/A - LEA did not have any graduates.
2 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to dropping out Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA did not have students ages 14-21.
3A (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments:  Participation rate for children with IEPs.	Number of children with IEPs participating in an assessment Divided by the total number of children with IEPs enrolled during the testing window. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school.  Note: The participation rate is based on all children with IEPs, including both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment
3B (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments:  • Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the regular assessment. Calculate separately for math and reading. Account for ALL children with IEPs, in grades 4, 8, and high school.  Note: Include children not participating in assessments and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment
3C (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments:  Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against alternate academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the alternate assessment. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school.  Note: The proficiency rate includes both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment Note: New Indicator 3C for SY 2020-2021 = FFY 2020. For FFY 2020, "Met Target" is NA for all LEAs.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
3D (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on statewide assessments:  Gap in proficiency rates for children with IEPs and for all students against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Subtracted from the proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school.  Note: The proficiency rate includes all children enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment  * = Percent of students with disabilities meeting proficiency was greater than the percent of ALL meeting proficiency resulting in a negative proficiency gap.  Note: New Indicator 3D for SY 2020-2021 = FFY 2020. For FFY 2020, "Met Target" is NA for all LEAs.
4A (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs.	State Determination:  Number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio).  LEA Determination: Number of SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of General Ed Students Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days  • An LEA meets target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell.  • An LEA meets the target because they did not exceed rate ratio.  • An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed state established "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio).  Note: For FFY 2020: Cell Size = 15/Rate Ratio = 2.0 State bar for data reported is a rate ratio of 2.0 for 3 consecutive years or has a cell size of 5 and a rate ratio of 5.0	

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
4B (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have:  (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and  (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	State Determination: Number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio).  LEA Determination: Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of (race/ethnicity)SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students Suspended > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students in LEA  An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but are in compliance. An LEA meets the target if they do not exceed rate ratio. An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed the state established "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio) and was found to be noncompliant.  Note: For FFY 2020: Cell Size = 10/Rate Ratio = 2.0 State bar for data reported is a rate ratio of 2.0 for 3 consecutive years or has a cell size of 5 and a rate ratio of 5.0	•
5 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served:  A. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day  B. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day  C. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements.	<ul> <li>A. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served inside the regular class 80% or more of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 with IEPs times 100.</li> <li>B. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served inside the regular class less than 40% of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 with IEPs)] times 100.</li> <li>C. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 with IEPs times 100.</li> </ul>	

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
6 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of children with IEPs aged 3, 4, and 5 who are enrolled in a preschool program attending a: A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program B. Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility. Receiving special education and related services in the home.	<ul> <li>A. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program)  Divided by  the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100.</li> <li>B. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility Divided by  the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100.</li> <li>C. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs receiving special education and related services in the home  Divided by  the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100.</li> </ul>	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5.
7 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy) Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Of those preschool children who entered or exited the preschool program below age expectations in the Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.  The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5 in a preschool program.
8 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Number of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities Divided by Total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities. Indicator data is documented out to two decimal points. Meets or does not meet target is based on the percentage out to two decimal points without rounding.  If a respondent indicated unsure or N/A or if the item was left blank, that respondent was removed from the total number of respondents (denominator) and was not counted in LEA's Data % Agree.	NA - Data were not reported for the LEA.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
9 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.	State Determination Number of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services Divided by representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification  LEA Determination LEA Compliant:  • LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions:  • LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  • LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services  • LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions:  • LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  or  • LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services  • LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification:  • LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  • LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services  LEA Noncompliant:  • LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions and Disproportionate Representation was the result of inappropriate identification:  • LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.5 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services.	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
10 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.	State Determination  Number of LEAs that meet the State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups, with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification  Divided by  Number of LEAs that meet with State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups times 100.  LEA Determination  LEA Compliant:  LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions:  LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories.  LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions:  LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  Or  LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories.  LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification:  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories.  LEA Noncompliant:  LEA Noncompliant:  LEA Noncompliant:  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories.	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.
11 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B)) (14 DE Admin Code § 925.2.0)	Percent of children who were evaluated within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less, of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.	Number of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received Divided by Number of children whose evaluations were completed within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less  Evaluation met the exception (a) criteria (the parent of the child repeatedly fails or refuses to produce the child for the evaluation). The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance.  Evaluation met the exception (b) criteria (the child enrolls in a school of another public agency after the relevant timeframe has begun, and prior to a -determination by the child's previous public agency as to whether the child is a child with a disability. Exception (b) applies only if the subsequent public agency is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent and subsequent public agency agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.) The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance.	NA - no initial evaluations were reported.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
12 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	<ul> <li>A. Number of children who have been served in Part C and referred to Part B for Part B eligibility determination</li> <li>B. Number of those referred determined to be NOT eligible and whose eligibility was determined prior to third birthday</li> <li>C. Number of those found eligible who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays</li> <li>D. Number for whom parent refusals to provide consent caused delays in evaluation or initial services or to whom exceptions under 34 CFR §300.301(d) applied</li> <li>E. Number of children who were referred to Part C and determined eligible for Part C less than 90 days before their third birthdays</li> <li>[c/(a-b-d-e)]x100</li> </ul>	NA - LEA did not have students transitioning from Part C to Part B.
13 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an ageappropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.	Number of youth in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above) with IEPs that contain each of the required components for secondary transition Divided by  Number of youth with IEPs in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above)	NA - LEA did not have students of transition age in grade 8 or ages 14 and above.  N/A - LEA was not required to report data for this reporting period.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
14 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:  • Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.  • Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.  • Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	<ul> <li>A. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number or respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school</li> <li>B. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school</li> <li>C. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.</li> </ul>	NA - LEA did not have students with IEPs exiting secondary education.