



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

The Townsend Building
401 Federal Street Suite 2
Dover, Delaware 19901-3639
DOE WEBSITE: <http://www.doe.k12.de.us>

Mark T. Murphy
Secretary of Education
Voice: (302) 735-4000
FAX: (302) 739-4654

June 15, 2015

Mr. Matthew Burrows
Appoquinimink School District
313 South Fifth Street
Odessa, DE 19730

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Mr. Burrows:

Under the IDEA, the Department is required to review the performance of local education agencies (LEAs) on the targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and make annual determinations on LEA performance.

As discussed in the November Chiefs' meeting, the federal Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) has broadened their focus from holding states accountable for compliance indicators only to now holding states accountable for both compliance and results indicators. Beginning this spring, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

- **Compliance:**

- Indicator 4b Significant Discrepancy, by Race or Ethnicity, in the rate of Suspensions and Expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year and policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements
- Indicators 9 & 10 Disproportionate Representation related to Identification
- Indicator 11 Timely Evaluations
- Indicator 12 Early Childhood Transition from Part C/preschool special education services to Part B/school-age special education services
- Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP
- Other Equitable Services, Needs-Based Funding, Fiscal Monitoring
- Other Corrective Action as a result of an Administrative Complaint or Due Process

- **Results:**

- Indicator 3b Participation in the State Assessment
- Indicator 3c Proficiency on the State Assessment
- Indicator 4a Significant Discrepancy in the rates of long-term Suspension of Students with Disabilities
- Indicator 7 Early Childhood Outcomes

Based on information from OSEP, Indicator 1/Graduation Rate will be added next year.

As a result of the review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA **NEEDS ASSISTANCE** in implementing the regulations of the IDEA.

Appoquinimink School District
LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)
June 15, 2015
Page 2

Attached, please find an overview of the “IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements” along with an explanation of how your LEA’s determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department’s analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, the LEA’s status in meeting its targets.

Exceptional Children Resources staff will be in contact with you to discuss next steps including the development of a **Corrective Action Plan**. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,



Mary Ann Mieczkowski
Director, Exceptional Children Resources

Sincerely,



Michael Watson
Chief Academic Officer

MAM:BJM/bd
Attachment

cc: Mark T. Murphy, Secretary of Education
Penny Schwinn, Chief Accountability Officer
Katherine Villari, Chief Performance Officer
Ryan Reyna, Director, Office of Accountability
Dr. Josette McCullough, Director, Special Education Services
Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources
Maria N. Locuniak, PhD., NCSP, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources
Linda Smith, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State. In addition, the Department is required to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment, (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services, and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located within the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the Requirements and purposes of IDEA, Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA, Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA, or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

FFY 2013 LEA Annual Determinations

FFY 2013 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

- **Compliance:**

- Indicator 4b Significant Discrepancy, by Race or Ethnicity, in the rate of Suspensions and Expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year and policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements
- Indicators 9 & 10 Disproportionate Representation related to Identification
- Indicator 11 Timely Evaluations
- Indicator 12 Early Childhood Transition from Part C/preschool special education services to Part B/school-age special education services
- Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP
- Other Equitable Services, Needs-Based Funding, Fiscal Monitoring
- Other Corrective Action as a result of an Administrative Complaint or Due Process

- **Results:**

- Indicator 3b Participation in the State Assessment
- Indicator 3c Proficiency on the State Assessment
- Indicator 4a Significant Discrepancy in the rates of long-term Suspension of Students with Disabilities
- Indicator 7 Early Childhood Outcomes

Meets Requirements	=	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2		
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Corrective Action Plan related to any compliance indicator(s)/issues or does not meet targets for results	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On-Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan or Compliance Agreement related to any compliance indicator(s)/issues or does not meet targets for results	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On-Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)
Needs Significant Intervention	Phase in Spring, 2016					

Appoquinimink School District

Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2013 - 2014	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year and policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements. Note - The LEA score is the Rate Ratio which for FFY 2012 had a target of 1.26	2012 - 2013 SY	0.00%	12.82%	Met targets for all races/ ethnicities	1	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionality/All Disabilities	12/1/13	0.00%	4.65%	Met target	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionality/Specific Disabilities	12/1/13	0.00%	4.65%	Met target	1	1
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluation Timelines	2013 - 2014 SY	100.00%	99.79%	100.00%	1	1
Indicator 12: Preschool Transition Part C to Part B	2013 - 2014 SY	100.00%	97.00%	100.00%	1	1
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition (LEA - actual percentage)	2013 - 2014 SY	100%	48.43%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Equitable Services/Needs-Based Funding/Fiscal Monitoring					1	1

Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2013 - 2014	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points	
Indicator 3B Participation Math	Spring, 2014						
Grade 3		95.00%	98.60%	98.75%	1	1	
Grade 4		95.00%	98.78%	100.00%	1	1	
Grade 5		95.00%	98.76%	100.00%	1	1	
Grade 6		95.00%	97.69%	98.11%	1	1	
Grade 7		95.00%	97.43%	97.22%	1	1	
Grade 8		95.00%	97.78%	98.11%	1	1	
Grade 10		95.00%	92.45%	92.86%	0	1	
Indicator 3B Participation Reading							
Grade 3		95.00%	96.22%	97.50%	1	1	
Grade 4		95.00%	96.60%	100.00%	1	1	
Grade 5		95.00%	96.70%	98.92%	1	1	
Grade 6		95.00%	96.33%	97.17%	1	1	
Grade 7		95.00%	96.41%	98.15%	1	1	
Grade 8		95.00%	96.87%	98.11%	1	1	
Grade 10		95.00%	90.10%	89.29%	0	1	
Indicator 3C Performance Rate Math							
Grade 3		41.80%	36.69%	45.57%	1	1	
Grade 4		41.80%	37.00%	35.71%	0	1	
Grade 5		41.80%	33.08%	33.33%	0	1	
Grade 6	41.80%	23.21%	21.15%	0	1		
Grade 7	41.80%	27.61%	30.48%	0	1		
Grade 8	41.80%	27.73%	42.31%	1	1		
Grade 10	41.80%	30.96%	42.31%	1	1		

Appoquinimink School District

Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2013 - 2014	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 3C Performance Rate Reading	Spring, 2014					
Grade 3		41.40%	33.87%	47.44%	1	1
Grade 4		41.40%	36.45%	40.48%	0	1
Grade 5		41.40%	38.91%	45.65%	1	1
Grade 6		41.40%	30.32%	33.98%	0	1
Grade 7		41.40%	30.04%	39.62%	0	1
Grade 8		41.40%	29.29%	36.54%	0	1
Grade 10		41.40%	34.56%	54.00%	1	1
Indicator 4a: Significant Discrepancy Note - The LEA score is the Rate Ratio which for FFY 2012 had a target of 1.26	2012 - 2013 SY	0.00%	2.56%	Met Target	1	1
Indicator 7A: Early Childhood Outcomes - Social/Emotional	June, 2014					
Percent Increase Rate of Growth		85.20%	86.41%	79.00%	0	1
Percent Within Age Expectation		54.20%	48.81%	55.00%	1	1
Indicator 7B: Early Childhood Outcomes - Knowledge						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth		87.30%	86.63%	73.00%	0	1
Percent Within Age Expectation		49.80%	45.39%	52.00%	1	1
Indicator 7C: Early Childhood Outcomes - Behavior						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth		87.30%	85.60%	65.00%	0	1
Percent Within Age Expectation	65.00%	61.51%	69.00%	1	1	

Determination Summary	
Compliance Indicators Score	6
Out of a Possible:	6
Results Indicators Score	22
Out of a Possible:	35
Score Total	28
Out of a Possible:	41
Percentage:	68.3%

Annual Determination: Needs Assistance

District Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities - FFY 2013

Appoquinimink

Indicator 1 - Graduation Rates

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Graduates</u>	<u>Dropouts</u>	<u>Graduation Rate</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
1	2012	42		84.00%	87.00%	No
1	2013	53		92.98%	87.00%	Yes
1	2014	43		79.60%	63.00%	Yes

Indicator 2 - Dropout Rates

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Enrollment</u>	<u>Dropouts</u>	<u>Dropout Rate</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
2	2012	238		3.36%	3.80%	Yes
2	2013	251		1.59%	3.80%	Yes
2	2014	234		2.56%	5.50%	Yes

Indicator 3 - Performance on AYP

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>School Level</u>	<u>Meets Reading Target?</u>	<u>Meets Math Target?</u>	<u>Meets AYP Target?</u>
3A	2012	Elem	Yes	Yes	Yes
3A	2012	Middle	Yes	Yes	Yes
3A	2012	High Sch			Yes
3A	2013	Elem	Yes	Yes	Yes
3A	2013	Middle	Yes	Yes	Yes
3A	2013	High Sch	Yes	Yes	Yes

District Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities - FFY 2013

Appoquinimink

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>School Level</u>	<u>Meets Reading Target?</u>	<u>Meets Math Target?</u>	<u>Meets AYP Target?</u>
3A	2014	Elem	Yes	Yes	Yes
3A	2014	Middle	Yes	Yes	Yes
3A	2014	High Sch	Yes	Yes	Yes

Indicator 3B - Participation in State Assessments

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u># Eligible</u>	<u># Tested</u>	<u>% Tested</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
3B	2012	3	Math	71	69	97.18%	99.3%	No
3B	2013	3	Math	70	70	100.00%	99.3%	Yes
3B	2014	3	Math	80	79	98.75%	95.0%	Yes
3B	2012	4	Math	89	87	97.75%	99.0%	No
3B	2013	4	Math	87	87	100.00%	99.0%	Yes
3B	2014	4	Math	84	84	100.00%	95.0%	Yes
3B	2012	5	Math	77	75	97.40%	99.3%	No
3B	2013	5	Math	94	94	100.00%	99.3%	Yes
3B	2014	5	Math	93	93	100.00%	95.0%	Yes
3B	2012	6	Math	89	89	100.00%	98.5%	Yes
3B	2013	6	Math	97	97	100.00%	98.5%	Yes
3B	2014	6	Math	106	104	98.11%	95.0%	Yes

District Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities - FFY 2013

Appoquinimink

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u># Eligible</u>	<u># Tested</u>	<u>% Tested</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
3B	2012	7	Math	90	88	97.78%	98.3%	No
3B	2013	7	Math	103	103	100.00%	98.3%	Yes
3B	2014	7	Math	108	105	97.22%	95.0%	Yes
3B	2012	8	Math	78	77	98.72%	97.4%	Yes
3B	2013	8	Math	91	91	100.00%	97.4%	Yes
3B	2014	8	Math	106	104	98.11%	95.0%	Yes
3B	2012	10	Math	45	41	91.11%	95.4%	No
3B	2013	10	Math	54	54	100.00%	95.4%	Yes
3B	2014	10	Math	56	52	92.86%	95.0%	No
3B	2012	3	Reading	71	67	94.37%	99.2%	No
3B	2013	3	Reading	69	69	100.00%	99.2%	Yes
3B	2014	3	Reading	80	78	97.50%	95.0%	Yes
3B	2012	4	Reading	89	86	96.63%	98.7%	No
3B	2013	4	Reading	86	86	100.00%	98.7%	Yes
3B	2014	4	Reading	84	84	100.00%	95.0%	Yes
3B	2012	5	Reading	77	75	97.40%	99.5%	No
3B	2013	5	Reading	95	95	100.00%	99.5%	Yes

District Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities - FFY 2013

Appoquinimink

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u># Eligible</u>	<u># Tested</u>	<u>% Tested</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
3B	2014	5	Reading	93	92	98.92%	95.0%	Yes
3B	2012	6	Reading	89	88	98.88%	98.4%	Yes
3B	2013	6	Reading	97	97	100.00%	98.4%	Yes
3B	2014	6	Reading	106	103	97.17%	95.0%	Yes
3B	2012	7	Reading	90	87	96.67%	98.2%	No
3B	2013	7	Reading	104	104	100.00%	98.2%	Yes
3B	2014	7	Reading	108	106	98.15%	95.0%	Yes
3B	2012	8	Reading	78	77	98.72%	97.6%	Yes
3B	2013	8	Reading	91	91	100.00%	97.6%	Yes
3B	2014	8	Reading	106	104	98.11%	95.0%	Yes
3B	2012	10	Reading	45	40	88.89%	95.8%	No
3B	2013	10	Reading	55	55	100.00%	95.8%	Yes
3B	2014	10	Reading	56	50	89.29%	95.0%	No

Indicator 3C - Performance on State Assessments

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u># Tested</u>	<u># Meets</u>	<u>% Meets</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
3C	2012	3	Math	69	28	40.58%	36.00%	Yes
3C	2013	3	Math	70		18.57%	41.80%	No

District Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities - FFY 2013

Appoquinimink

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u># Tested</u>	<u># Meets</u>	<u>% Meets</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
3C	2014	3	Math	79	36	45.57%	41.80%	Yes
3C	2012	4	Math	88	40	45.45%	36.00%	Yes
3C	2013	4	Math	87	37	42.53%	41.80%	Yes
3C	2014	4	Math	84	30	35.71%	41.80%	No
3C	2012	5	Math	75	35	46.67%	36.00%	Yes
3C	2013	5	Math	94	31	32.98%	41.80%	No
3C	2014	5	Math	93	31	33.33%	41.80%	No
3C	2012	6	Math	89	22	24.72%	36.00%	No
3C	2013	6	Math	97	30	30.93%	41.80%	No
3C	2014	6	Math	104	22	21.15%	41.80%	No
3C	2012	7	Math	87	35	40.23%	36.00%	Yes
3C	2013	7	Math	103	24	23.30%	41.80%	No
3C	2014	7	Math	105	32	30.48%	41.80%	No
3C	2012	8	Math	77	41	53.25%	36.00%	Yes
3C	2013	8	Math	91	46	50.55%	41.80%	Yes
3C	2014	8	Math	104	44	42.31%	41.80%	Yes

District Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities - FFY 2013

Appoquinimink

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u># Tested</u>	<u># Meets</u>	<u>% Meets</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
3C	2012	10	Math	40	20	50.00%	36.00%	Yes
3C	2013	10	Math	54	19	35.19%	41.80%	No
3C	2014	10	Math	52	22	42.31%	41.80%	Yes
3C	2012	3	Reading	67	27	40.30%	35.60%	Yes
3C	2013	3	Reading	69	15	21.74%	41.40%	No
3C	2014	3	Reading	78	37	47.44%	41.40%	Yes
3C	2012	4	Reading	87	48	55.17%	35.60%	Yes
3C	2013	4	Reading	86	38	44.19%	41.40%	Yes
3C	2014	4	Reading	84	34	40.48%	41.40%	No
3C	2012	5	Reading	75	38	50.67%	35.60%	Yes
3C	2013	5	Reading	95	42	44.21%	41.40%	Yes
3C	2014	5	Reading	92	42	45.65%	41.40%	Yes
3C	2012	6	Reading	88	34	38.64%	35.60%	Yes
3C	2013	6	Reading	97	33	34.02%	41.40%	No
3C	2014	6	Reading	103	35	33.98%	41.40%	No
3C	2012	7	Reading	86	37	43.02%	35.60%	Yes

District Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities - FFY 2013

Apoquinimink

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u># Tested</u>	<u># Meets</u>	<u>% Meets</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
3C	2013	7	Reading	104	40	38.46%	41.40%	No
3C	2014	7	Reading	106	42	39.62%	41.40%	No
3C	2012	8	Reading	77	44	57.14%	35.60%	Yes
3C	2013	8	Reading	91	46	50.55%	41.40%	Yes
3C	2014	8	Reading	104	38	36.54%	41.40%	No
3C	2012	10	Reading	39	20	51.28%	35.60%	Yes
3C	2013	10	Reading	55	21	38.18%	41.40%	No
3C	2014	10	Reading	50	27	54.00%	41.40%	Yes

Indicator 4A - Disproportionality in Students Suspended > 10 Days

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>SY</u>	<u>Spec Ed Enroll</u>	<u>Reg Ed Enroll</u>	<u>Spec Ed Suspend</u>	<u>RegEd Suspend</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>	<u>Rate Ratio</u>
4A	2013	1037	8454	11	47	Yes	1.91

For FFY 2013 a Rate Ratio over 1.26 with cell size over 15 will trigger.

Indicator 4B- Disproportionality in Students Suspended > 10 Days by Race/Ethnicity

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Race</u>	<u>Special Ed Enrolled</u>	<u>Spec Ed Suspend>10 days</u>	<u>Rate Ratio</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
4B	2013	Afr.American	330		2.29	1.26	Yes
4B	2013	AI/AK			0.00	1.26	Yes
4B	2013	Asian	21		0.00	1.26	Yes

District Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities - FFY 2013

Appoquinimink

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Race</u>	<u>Special Ed Enrolled</u>	<u>Spec Ed Suspend>10 days</u>	<u>Rate Ratio</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
4B	2013	Hispanic	66		0.00	1.26	Yes
4B	2013	Multi.Racial			0.00	1.26	Yes
4B	2013	Nat.Haw./PI			0.00	1.26	Yes
4B	2013	White	603		0.47	1.26	Yes

An LEA can exceed the Rate Ratio with less than 10 students in the sub-category and not miss target.

Indicator 5A - LRE Ages 6 to 21 - Outside Regular Class < 21% of the Day

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u># Outside < 21%</u>	<u>% Outside < 21%</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
5A	2012	1014	744	73.37%	66.00%	Yes
5A	2013	1037	773	74.54%	68.00%	Yes
5A	2014	1113	803	72.15%	67.00%	Yes

Indicator 5B - LRE Ages 6 to 21 - Outside Regular Class >60% of the Day

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u># Outside > 60%</u>	<u>% Outside > 60%</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
5B	2012	1014	55	5.42%	17.50%	Yes
5B	2013	1037	47	4.53%	17.30%	Yes
5B	2014	1113	52	4.67%	15.60%	Yes

Indicator 5C - LRE Ages 6 to 21 - Separate Settings

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u># Separate</u>	<u>% Separate</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
5C	2012	1014	24	2.37%	3.60%	Yes
5C	2013	1037	41	3.95%	3.50%	No
5C	2014	1113	50	4.49%	5.20%	Yes

Indicator 6 - LRE Ages 3 to 5 - With Typical Peers (Early Childhood, Home, Part-time Early Childhood Part-Time Early Childhood Special Education)

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>FFY</u>	<u>Total EC</u>	<u># with Peers</u>	<u>% with Peers</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target</u>	
6	2012	99	99	100.00%	68.6%	Yes	Data for FFY 2011 is baseline.
6	2013	126	55	43.65%	45.0%	No	

District Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities - FFY 2013

Appoquinimink

Indicator 7 - Percent of pre-school children aged 3 though 5 who demonstrate improved Positive Social-Emotional Skills; Acquisition and use of Knowledge Skills; and use of Appropriate Behaviors to meet their needs.

<u>School Year</u>	<u>% Increase Rate of Growth</u>	<u>Target Increase Rate of Growth</u>	<u>Met Growth Target?</u>	<u>% Within Age Expectation</u>	<u>Target within Age</u>	<u>Met Age Target?</u>	<u>Skillset</u>
7 2012	76.6	90.6	No	61.1	60.4	Yes	7A - Social/Emotional
7 2012	75	93	No	55.6	54.5	Yes	7B - Knowledge
7 2012	84.8	91.9	No	79.6	65	Yes	7C - Behavior

<u>School Year</u>	<u>% Increase Rate of Growth</u>	<u>Target Increase Rate of Growth</u>	<u>Met Growth Target?</u>	<u>% Within Age Expectation</u>	<u>Target within Age</u>	<u>Met Age Target?</u>	<u>Skillset</u>
7 2013	83	85.2	No	58	54.2	Yes	7A - Social/Emotional
7 2013	82	87.3	No	45	49.8	No	7B - Knowledge
7 2013	83	87.3	No	68	65	Yes	7C - Behavior

<u>School Year</u>	<u>% Increase Rate of Growth</u>	<u>Target Increase Rate of Growth</u>	<u>Met Growth Target?</u>	<u>% Within Age Expectation</u>	<u>Target within Age</u>	<u>Met Age Target?</u>	<u>Skillset</u>
7 2014	79	85.2	No	55	54.2	Yes	7A - Social/Emotional
7 2014	73	87.3	No	52	49.8	Yes	7B - Knowledge
7 2014	65	87.3	No	69	65	Yes	7C - Behavior

Indicator 8 - Percent of parents with a child receiving Special Education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>% Agree</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
8	2012	192	25	217	88.48%	87.00%	Yes
8	2013	161	27	188	85.64%	87.00%	No
8	2014	150	6	156	96.15%	87.00%	Yes

District Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities - FFY 2013

Apoquinimink

Indicator 9 - Percent of LEA's with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.

Disproportionate representation data are found in the APR.

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Disprop representation is result of inappropriate identification</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target</u>
9	2012	No	0.0%	Yes
<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Disprop representation is result of inappropriate identification</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target</u>
9	2013	No	0.0%	Yes
<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Disprop representation is result of inappropriate identification</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target</u>
9	2014	No	0.0%	Yes

Indicator 10 - Percent of LEA's with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year:</u>	<u>Disprop. Rep. is result of Inaprop. Identification</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target</u>
10	2012	No	0.0%	Yes
<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year:</u>	<u>Disprop. Rep. is result of Inaprop. Identification</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target</u>
10	2013	No	0.0%	Yes
<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year:</u>	<u>Disprop. Rep. is result of Inaprop. Identification</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target</u>
10	2014	No	0.0%	Yes

Indicator 11 - Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is shorter.

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>W/In Timelines</u>	<u>Not W/In Timelines</u>	<u>% Within</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
11	2012	131	0	100.00%	100.00%	Yes
11	2013	119	0	100.00%	100.00%	Yes
11	2014	89	0	100.00%	100.00%	Yes

District Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities - FFY 2013

Appoquinimink

Indicator 12 - Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>Referred Less Not Eligible and Parent Refusals</u>	<u># Services By Age 3</u>	<u>% Services by Age 3</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
12	2012	36	36	100.00%	100.00%	Yes
12	2013	36	36	100.00%	100.00%	Yes
12	2014	26	26	100.00%	100.00%	Yes

Indicator 13 - Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measureable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>School Year</u>	<u>IEP's Reviewed</u>	<u>IEP's Meeting Standard</u>	<u>% Meeting Standard</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Met Target?</u>
13	2012	41	37	90.00%	100.0	No
13	2013	Data was not reviewed for this reporting period.			100.0	
13	2014	Data was not reviewed for this reporting period.			100.0	