Student ID#

Student Grade Level on Date of Record Review:



Last Name:

Student Age: _

Alternate Assessment IEP Review LEA Self-Evaluation Form

Legislation/Regulation Authority

Student DOB:

Title 1 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 (ESSA) [ESEA §111(b)(2)(D) and 34 CFR 200.6(c) and (d)], modifies the provision that students with the most significant cognitive disabilities may participate in alternate assessments based on alternate academic achievement standards (alternate assessments). ESSA places a 1.0% cap on the number of students who may participate in alternate assessments.

Focus of Monitoring:

School District or Charter School:

To meet the requirements of Peer Review: 5.1 Procedures for including Students with Disabilities

First Name:

• Evidence that the State - Monitors implementation of guidelines for IEP teams to apply in determining, on a case-by-case basis, which students with the most significant cognitive disabilities will be assessed based on alternate academic achievement standards, if applicable.

School:

Name of Representative Completing Record Review:		Date of Record Review:			
Criteria #1: Evidence of Significant Cognitive Disabilities					
The student has a disability or multiple disabilities that <i>pervasively</i> impacts intellectual functioning and *adaptive behavior.					
*(Adaptive Behavior- essential for someone to live independently and to function safely in daily life- DLM definition)					
Criteria		Data	Data-Based Decision		
1.A: The student's cognitive			☐ Meets Criteria		
disability interferes with					
learning grade level skills and			☐ Does Not Meet Criteria		
concepts across all academic					
areas.					
1.B: The student demonstrates			☐ Meets Criteria		
a pattern of being unable to					
participate any general state			□ Does Not Meet Criteria		
accessibility supports.					
assessment even with accessibility supports.					



Office of Assessment

1.C: Even with additional time, the student is unable to develop the skills needed to live independently or to function safely in their daily life at home, school and community. The student requires specialized d school, home, work, and community.	Criteria #2: Intensity of Instruction lirect instruction and/or a wide range of supporty environments.	☐ Meets Criteria ☐ Does Not Meet Criteria orts to acquire and transfer skills to		
Criteria	Data	Data-Based Decision		
2.A: Individualized instruction or a low student-to-teacher ratio for instruction (ex. 1:1, 1:2) leads to the best student gains.		☐ Meets Criteria ☐ Does Not Meet Criteria		
2.B: The student receives a wide range of complex supports to acquire and transfer skills.		☐ Meets Criteria☐ Does Not Meet Criteria		
2.C: Even with extended time and repeated exposure, the student is unable to acquire and transfer skills to school, home and/or community environments.		☐ Meets Criteria☐ Does Not Meet Criteria		
	Criteria #3: Curricular Outcomes			
The student requires extensively modified instruction with less complex and depth of skills and uses the Delaware Alternate Achievement Standards to access the Delaware Content Standards.				
Criteria	Data	Data-Based Decision		
3.A: The student receives intensive instruction to learn academic content that is reduced in depth, breadth, and complexity.		☐ Meets Criteria☐ Does Not Meet Criteria		



Office of Assessment

of Education					
3.B: Student is unable to meet		☐ Meets Criteria			
general curricular demands even					
with accessibility supports.		□ Does Not Meet Criteria			
3.C: The student receives		☐ Meets Criteria			
instruction using the Delaware					
alternate achievement standards		□ Does Not Meet Criteria			
Criteria #4 Exclusions					
The decision to include the student in the DESSA-Alt is NOT based on the following:					
1. existence of an IEP;					
2. specific categorical label;					
3. educational placement or setting;					
4. English language learner status					
5. socio-economic or cultural differences;					
6. excessive or extended absences;					
7. disruptive behavior;					
8. student's reading level; or					
9. the expectation that the studer	nt will not perform well on DeSSA Gen Ed ass	essments			
Criteria	Data	Data-Based Decision			
4. The IEP team discussion		☐ Meets Criteria			
revealed none of the exclusions					
were a factor in the eligibility		☐ Does Not Meet Criteria			
decision.					
Criteria #5: Parent Acknowledgement					
Criteria	Data	Data-Based Decision			
5.A: The parent/guardian		☐ Meets Criteria			
initialed understanding that					
student's participation in the Does Not Meet Criteria					
DeSSA-Alternate Assessment					
could lead to a Diploma of					



Office of Assessment

Alternate Achievement Standards, which may not be accepted by colleges and technical/trade schools.				
5.B: The parent/guardian initialed understanding that the standards assessed in the DeSSA-Alternate Assessment are less complex than the Delaware Content Standards assessed in the DeSSA general assessments.		☐ Meets Criteria ☐ Does Not Meet Criteria		
5.C: The IEP Team Leader provided the parent/guardian with the Facts About the DeSSA-Alternate.		☐ Meets Criteria ☐ Does Not Meet Criteria		
	Criteria #6: General			
Criteria	Data	Data-Based Decision		
6.A: The IEP included the DeSSA-Alternate Decision-Making Tool.		.□ Meets Criteria□ Does Not Meet Criteria		
6.B: The student participated in ALL content areas of the alternate assessment		☐ Meets Criteria☐ Does Not Meet Criteria		
SUMMARY				
Total Meets Criteria Ratings: (/15) Total Does Not Meet Criteria Ratings: (/15) A review of the evidence indicates the IEP Team followed the State Guidelines and Participation Criteria when making the determination to place the student on the Alternate Assessment. Yes No				
Signature of LEA Review	er Date			