

**SCR 22 Education Technology Task Force**  
*Infrastructure & Governance*

**Goal:** *Review the current condition of technology in the public education classrooms and educational settings of the State and prepare a plan to outline actions that support Delaware becoming the premier state for utilizing technology in pre- kindergarten to grade 12 education.*

**As a result of the work of this subcommittee, we will :**

- (g) Recommend a phased plan for the implementation of the State educational technology plan;**
- (h) Recommend a funding plan for the implementation of the State educational technology plan;**
- (i) Recommend a plan to track and assess progress in the implementation of goals set forth in the State Educational Technology Plan.**

**Subcommittee Members**

Ammann, Ted

Fitzgerald, Kevin (Chair)

Gause, Colleen

Lewis, Elizabeth

Mancini, Steven

**Rep. Dukes, Timothy (Absent)**

Reynolds, Randy

# SCR 22 Education Technology Task Force

## Infrastructure & Governance

### Wrap-Up

#### Major Decision Points

*Please combine decision points from previous pages*

- Discussed leadership difference with Governor’s Council on Ed Tech vs using existing P20.
- Looking for stakeholders like the former DCET board to have representation. Discussed the P20 representation. Colleen sees it like Technology Investment Council, who doesn’t have staff. They meet quarterly. Similar to how DCET board worked in the past at a State level. Maybe recommend have an Education Investment Council. Look for endorsements. Steve - What we are doing as a whole with education and have discussion? With the disbandment of the DCET board we lost communication. Some districts didn’t want to participate. Power was lost. Steve believes we need to come back together. The time is right. Pat believes if you place a council group under an existing P20 council may get a better chance of happening. Must have instructional, infrastructure and exceptional children representation. Made up of K-12 people to make recommendations.
- Discussion if districts choose not to participate in State mandate decisions. Inviting the districts to join is different than making decisions as a group. Districts don’t want to be told what to do.
- Recommend join into P20.
- Discussion about bulk purchasing at a State level for volume pricing for education. Must come from a need for it to work.
- The group should have some primary objectives.
- Began to discuss the goals and strategies in the draft and had discussion with a minimum number of changes thus far. Should the State help support the costs or leave it on the districts? What about hiring a consultant to help with e-rate?
- Kevin supports leaving in the recommendation for a State fund.
- Steve agreed to work with Geoff Fletcher for review of plan in further details.

### Deliverables

What	Who	When
<i>Example: Teacher Survey</i>	<i>Example: Subcommittee Chair Person</i>	<i>Example: By next Sept. Meeting</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sub-Committee person who will communicate with Geoff Fletcher, the consultant writing the plan for revisions to the draft to better explain what the sub-committee recommends.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Steve Mancini</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Within the next few weeks.</li> </ul>

**As a result of the work of this subcommittee, we will:**

- (j) Recommend a phased plan for the implementation of the State educational technology plan;
- (k) Recommend a funding plan for the implementation of the State educational technology plan;
- (l) Recommend a plan to track and assess progress in the implementation of goals set forth in the State Educational Technology Plan.